



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/614,364	07/07/2003	Mihir C. Desai	(49366) 58915 DIV	7871
75	90 12/15/2004		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS &	ANGELL, LLP		EDGAR, RI	CHARD A
P.O. Box 9169		U		
Boston, MA 0	2209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3745	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,364	DESALET AL.	$\bigvee_{l,q}$
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Richard Edgar	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 7-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,364

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 4,411,595 (Pisano hereinafter) in view of United States Patent No. 4,453,378 (Zagranski et al. hereinafter).

Pisano teaches a power turbine speed control system for a helicopter comprising: a means for generating a power turbine speed signal (*Ref. Speed* in Fig. 4) and a power turbine shaft torque signal (*measured torque* in Fig. 4) from a power turbine and rotor drive train; and damping means 46 for actively damping main and tail rotor torsional frequencies in the power turbine shaft torque signal.

Pisano also teaches a means for selectively activating the damping means (col. 5, lines 8-12).

The system further comprises a governor 20 which adjusts fuel flow to rotate the shaft at a constant speed based on the power turbine speed signal.

The damping means includes a Kalman state estimator 46 and a linear quadratic regulator (col. 6, lines 29-30).

Regarding the operation of the estimator and the linear quadratic regulator recited in claim 19, the estimator and regulator are structural limitations met by the

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/614,364

Art Unit: 3745

Pisano reference, furthermore, the "manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to

be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from [the] prior art apparatus"

(MPEP § 2114).

Pisano does not teach a high pass filter for filtering the power turbine speed

signal.

Zagranski et al. show a speed control system for a helicopter wherein a high

pass filter 44 is used to filter the power turbine speed signal (col. 4, lines 27-32) for the

purpose of blocking low frequency signals that interfere with primary control functions.

Since Pisano teaches a control system for a helicopter including rotor damping,

and Zagranski et al. teach a high pass filter should be used in a helicopter damping

system, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Pisano to have a high pass filter,

as taught by Zagranski et al. for the purpose of blocking low frequency signals that

interfere with primary control functions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-13 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3745

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 7-13 includes subject matter deemed patentable in the parent application (U.S. Serial No. 09/963,180, now U.S. Patent No. 6,729,139).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (571) 272-4816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 am until 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Edgar Examiner Art Unit 3745

RE

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

12/10/04